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Abstract

**Background:** Many people suffer from infection with Entamoeba gingivalis which mainly causes for periodontitis and other dental diseases specially in patient suffering from Diabetic mellitus.

**Aim of study:** To determine the prevalence of the Entamoeba gingivalis among diabetes mellitus patients in Kerbala city.

**Methodology:** oral swap samples were collected from 300 of respondents (patients were diagnosed clinically by physician suffering from DM) attended to Imam Hassan Center for Endocrinology and Diabetes and some of outpatients clinics in Kerbala Province of Iraq from May to August 2023. Oral swap specimens were collected and immediately introduced for microscopic examination for diagnosis of Entamoeba gingivalis parasites.

**Results:** the results of current study showed that DM patients with Periodontitis were increased in age groups 50-59 years and accounting (68.18%), and in 40-49 years accounting (60.31%) while in DM without Periodontitis were most common in age groups 30-39 Years and accounting (63.01%), and in 40-49 years accounting (39.69%). The distribution of patients according to gender, in which male and female of DM patients with Periodontitis accounted for (54.05%) and (46.05%) respectively, while DM Without Periodontitis in which male and female accounted for (45.95%) and (53.95%) respectively. The residence of DM patients with Periodontitis was more common in rural, 57.66% compared to DM Without Periodontitis was more common in urban, 56.45%. Out of (300) oral swap samples that which examined microscopically for E.gingivalis by using light microscope, E.gingivalis infection detected in 45 (30.0%) out of 150 DM Patients with Periodontitis, and in 15 (10.0%) out of 150 DM Patients without Periodontitis.

**Conclusions:** the rate incidence of Entamoeba gingivalis infection in the current study 40%. The residence of DM patients with Periodontitis was more common in rural, compared to DM Without Periodontitis was more common in urban.
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INTRODUCTION:
*Entamoeba gingivalis* was the first amoeba of humans, discovered by Gros in 1849. It is global in distribution and only the trophozoite is found; the cystic stage being apparently absent. The trophozoite is about 10–20 μm, actively motile with multiple pseudopodia [1].

The cytoplasm contains food vacuoles with ingested bacteria, leucocytes, and epithelial cells. Nucleus is round with central karyosome lined by coarse chromat granules. The amoeba lives in gingival tissues and is abundant in unhygienic mouths [2].

It is a commensal and is not considered to cause any disease. It is transmitted by direct oral contact [3]. *E. gingivalis* have been found in bronchial washings [4] and vaginal and cervical smears, where it can be mistaken for *E. Histolytica* [5].

Periodontitis is one of the most prevalent diseases worldwide. Periodontal disease, also known as gum disease, is a set of inflammatory conditions affecting the tissues surrounding the teeth. In its early stage, called gingivitis, the gums become swollen and red and may bleed [6].

It is considered the main cause of tooth loss for adults worldwide. In its more serious form, called periodontitis, the gums can pull away from the tooth, bone can be lost, the teeth may loosen or fall out, and bad breath may also occur [7].

The pathology is characterized by gum inflammation with bone loss, often associated with pain, halitosis and gingival bleeding. In frequent cases, alveolysis can lead to tooth loosening or even loss. Though these clinical manifestations are obvious signs of disease, patients habitually tolerate discomfort or suffering; the impact of oral health-related quality of life is indeed often underestimated [8].

Periodontal disease is generally due to bacteria in the mouth infecting the tissue around the teeth also the presence of *E. gingivalis* killed live epithelial cells by trogocytosis, demonstrating strong pathogenic potential for Periodontitis. Factors that increase the risk of disease include smoking, immunocompromised family history, certain medications and diabetes. Diagnosis is by inspecting the gum tissue around the teeth both visually and with a probe and X-rays looking for bone loss around the teeth [1].

*E. gingivalis* is considered an oral commensal but demonstrates a pathogenic potential associated with periodontal disease in diabetic mellitus individuals, therefore *E.gingivalis* can be an important agent in the pathophysiology of periodontitis [9].

*E. gingivalis* exists as a trophozoite and is transmitted through oral contact. Its occurrence can vary according to age, presence of periodontal disease, and immunosuppression conditions [10].

*E. gingivalis* is considered a harmless commensal organism in humans and is commonly found in the calculus and bacterial plaques, crevicular fluid, and saliva. There are controversies concerning its pathogenicity because it has been detected in healthy individuals but has also been associated with periodontal disease.

In the early 1980s, new interest in *E. gingivalis* after T. Lyons detected amoeboid organisms in periodontal pockets, while they were absent from healthy sites assuming these parasites were responsible for periodontal disease [11]. Also, in a recent study conducted in 2021 it was mentioned about 15% of the healthy oral cavities of adults are infected with *E. Gingivalis* and the prevalence of this protozoan strongly increases in periodontal inflammation, and it colonizes up to 80% of inflamed pockets of patients with periodontitis [12].

The parasite *E. gingivalis* is more prevalent and more abundant in periodontal pockets, suggesting that this ecological niche is either propitious for its survival, or that the parasite induces changes leading to this environment [13]. Further studies will have to take into consideration the physicochemical and biological characteristics of the periodontal pockets to allow relevant studies of the biology of the parasites, either in vitro or in animal models [14], [15].

Methodology:

Patients Group

Samples collection (300 Oral swap specimens) were conducted for diabetes patients with periodontitis (150 participant) and diabetes patients without periodontitis (150 participant) during the period from the beginning of May 2023 to the end of August 2023 at Imam Hassan Center for Endocrinology and Diabetes and some of outpatients clinics in Kerbala and their ages ranged between (20 - ≥ 60) years.

Samples Collection (Oral swap)

Oral swap for mouth is directly done using a sterile cotton swab and and transferred to the parasitology department for direct examination method under light microscope.

Excluded Criteria

Comprised consuming systemic antibiotics in the past two months, using immunosuppressive drugs, smoking, being pregnant and having systemic, heart or respiratory diseases.

Ethical Standards

The current study obtained ethical approval by Kerbala Health Department / Training and Development Center, and written consent was taken from all participants in the research.

Microscopic Examination

Oral swap for mouth is directly done using a sterile cotton swab and the sample is placed on a glass slide, a drop of normal saline solution is placed on the sample, and the sample is examined under a light microscope under the forces of
The positive result can be observed by seeing the parasite movement characteristic of it, where the mouth parasites move in a spiral manner.

**Results and Discussion**

**Basic Demographic of cases and Control Subjects**

This study was conducted on a total of (300) individual in different gender and age group cases (148 males and 152 females) enrolled in this study composed the two studied groups, namely, first group included the diabetic mellitus patients with periodontitis (150), the second group included diabetic mellitus patients without periodontitis (150) were selected from Imam Hassan Center for Endocrinology and diabetes and some of outpatients clinics in Kerbala, during the period from the beginning of May 2023 to the end of August 2023.

Table (1) shows their demographic characteristics, where that DM patients with Periodontitis were increased in age group 50-59 years were accounting (68.18%), and in 40-49 years accounting (60.31%) while in DM without Periodontitis were most common in age groups 30-39 Years and accounting (63.01%), and in 40-49 years accounting (39.69%).

Periodontitis is one of the important dental diseases in many parts of the world. The prevalence of the disease increases by age and it is estimated that 50% of people above 30 years of age are involved with this condition [3]. Abbass et al. reported depend on the age the higher percentage of E. gingivalis parasite was (23.52%) in the age group (9-10 y) by microscopic method and (35.29%) in the same age group by PCR technique with statistically significant correlation between the infection and age at p≤0.05 [10].

Gender distribution of patients as shown in Table (1), in which male and female of DM patients with Periodontitis accounted for (54.05%) and (46.05%) respectively, while DM Without Periodontitis in which male and female accounted for (45.95%) and (53.95%) respectively.

Mohammed et al. found the prevalence of oral parasite E.gingivalis in male was higher than females, its due to females dental and mouth care is more respected therefore the infection to E. gingivalis were less prevalent than males [17]. Sharifi et al. reported that the rate of E. gingivalis infection in males was 2.8 times higher than that in females (P<0.001) [18].

High prevalence of mouth amoebiasis in the study reflects poor food hygiene and wrong social habits concerning food consumption in our Society [17]. The residence of DM patients with Periodontitis was more common in rural, 57.66% compared to DM Without Periodontitis was more common in urban, 56.45%.

In comparison with other studies, [19] found the average number of E. gingivalis in urban children was 12.84%, whereas a smaller amount of amoeba was found in rural children (10.74%).

Higher levels of amoeba in urban children than in rural children can be explained by the frequency of staying in larger groups, and contacts with a lot of children. In cities there is a greater microbiological contamination [20].

According to Doni et al. the place of residence has significant impact on the frequency and type of hygiene procedures performed in the oral cavity. Urban children more often clean their teeth and use additional means for dental hygiene [21].

Some authors claim that the use of additional mechanical products for plaque removal, such as dental floss, toothpicks or brushes for cleaning interdental spaces, increases the occurrence of amoeba [22]. This could explain the presented finding that more amoeba were found in urban.

**Table (1): Demographics of Respondents according to Age groups and Sex**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age groups</th>
<th>GROUPS</th>
<th>With Periodontitis</th>
<th>Without Periodontitis</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20-29 Y</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39 Y</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within</td>
<td>36.99%</td>
<td>63.01%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49 Y</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within</td>
<td>60.31%</td>
<td>39.69%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59 Y</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within</td>
<td>68.18%</td>
<td>31.82%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-69 Y</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within</td>
<td>88.89%</td>
<td>11.11%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Gender**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Within</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>54.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
<td>45.95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>82</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[10] [17] [18] [20] [21] [22]
Isolation and Identification of *Entamoeba gingivalis* from Diabetes Patients with and Without Periodontitis

Out of (300) oral swap samples that which examined microscopically for *E. gingivalis* by using light microscope as shown in Figure (1) under (40x), *E. gingivalis* infection detected in 45 (30.0%) out of 150 DM Patients with Periodontitis, and in 15 (10.0%) out of 150 DM Patients without Periodontitis. As shown in Table (2) and Figure (1). Many pathogenic agents as well as systemic and immunosuppressive diseases can cause major periodontal problems, among the current protozoa of the oral cavity, *E. gingivalis* is the most frequent parasite. The role of this parasite in inducing periodontal diseases is not exactly clear and remains controversial. To define the pathogenic role of the parasite, appropriate treatment schedules should be set up by dentists [3]. The total percentage of *E. gingivalis* in the presented study was 40% this nearly similar to [23] where found *Entamoeba gingivalis* in Babylon Province was (42.9 %). The results of the current study was much higher than what [3] found in his study that conducted in Iran, reported that only 16% of the patients were infected with *E. gingivalis*. The study presented by [24] found the prevalence of oral *E. gingivalis* in southern Iran was (66.7%), while [18] found the prevalence of *E. gingivalis* was 11.7% also in Southeastern Iran, and this results that reported by [18] was much lower than what we found in our study.

The study presented by Badri revealed a high prevalence rate of the infection among periodontal disease patients with 37% (95% CI 20-57%). To conclude, it must be considered that *E. gingivalis* can be a risk factor associated with oral diseases and a wide range of research is needed to specify its role in the pathogenesis of these disorders [25].

Table (2): Distribution of respondents according to microscopically diagnosis for *Entamoeba gingivalis*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GROUPS</th>
<th>No. of patients examined microscopically</th>
<th>No. of patients infected with <em>E. gingivalis</em></th>
<th>Incidence rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DM With Periodontitis</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM Without Periodontitis</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure (1): *Entamoeba gingivalis* under Light Microscope (The arrows→ refer to trophozoite of parasite 40X).

Conclusions

The rate incidence of *Entamoeba gingivalis* infection in the current study 40%. The residence of DM patients with Periodontitis was more common in rural, compared to DM Without Periodontitis was more common in urban.
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